UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,947	10/13/2005	Richard J. Barker	GB030047US1	6696	
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 09/28/200	7	EXAM	INER	
NXP INTELLE	NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			NGUYEN, HIEP	
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	SAN JOSE, CA 95131		2816		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	•		Θ_{\bullet}				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/552,947	BARKER, RICHARD J.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hiep Nguyen	2816				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.° SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin- led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 C	October 2005.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	١.					
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 October 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. The connection dots are missing in the drawings thus; the connections are not well defined.

Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the disclosure "According to the invention there is provided a power insulated gate field effect transistor, having main cells controlled by a main cell insulated gate and sense cells controlled by a sense cell insulated gate" on page 2 is not relevant. Figures 1-6 of the present application show that the power insulated gate field effect transistor comprises only one main cell (2) and one sense cell (4).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Correction and/or clarification is required.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14 and 17-19, the recitation "main cells" and "sense cells" are indefinite because it is misdescriptive. Figures 1-6 show that the

Art Unit: 2816

power insulated gate field effect transistor has only <u>one main cell (2) and one sense</u> <u>cell (4)</u>. Clarification is required.

Claims 2, 4, 7-11, 15, 16 and 20 are indefinite because of the technical deficiencies of claims 1 and 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shin (US 20050073488).

Regarding claim 1, figure 6 of Shin shows a device comprising:

a power insulated gate field effect transistor, having a main cell (M6) controlled by a main cell insulated gate and a sense cells (M1) controlled by a sense cell insulated gate;

a sample and hold circuit (100. M4, M5, Ch) connected in series with the sense cells (M1) and arranged to operate in a plurality of states including at least one sample state and a hold state ([0062]);

wherein the sample and hold circuit is arranged to sense the current flowing through the sense cells (M1) when in the at least one sample state but not in the hold state ([0062]).

Regarding claim 2, the sample and hold circuit (100. M4, M5, Ch) is a feedback sample and hold circuit connected to the sense cell (M1) and arranged to operate in the sample state to sense the current passing through the sense cells and having an output, a point between capacitor (Ch) and the gate of transistor (M1) arranged to drive the sense gate (M1) towards a voltage in which a target current passes through the sense

Art Unit: 2816

cell (M1), and to operate in the hold state to hold its output voltage and to drive the main cell (M6) insulated gate with that output voltage.

Regarding claim 17, figure 6 of Shin shows a method of operating a field effect transistor, including

providing a power field effect transistor having a main cell (M6) controlled by main cell insulated gates and sense a cell (M1) controlled by sense cell insulated gate, and a sample and hold circuit (100. M4, M5, Ch) connected to the sense cell;

switching to at least one sample state in which the sample and hold circuit outputs a voltage to drive the sense cell (M1) but not the main cell (M6), and sensing the sense cell current;

switching to a hold state in which the sense cell current is not measured; and

cycling between the sample and hold states.

Regarding claim 18, the sample and hold circuit is a feedback sample and hold circuit (100. M4, M5, Ch) connected to the sense cell (M1);

in a feedback sample state, the feedback sample and hold circuit (100. M4, M5, Ch) outputs a voltage to drive the sense cell (M1) but not the main cell (M6), the feedback sample and hold circuit output voltage being driven towards a voltage in which a predetermined target current passes through the sense cell (M1); and in the hold state the output voltage of the feedback sample and hold circuit is held constant and used to drive the main cell insulated gate (M6) with the voltage.

Regarding claim 19, in a measurement sample state, the sample and hold circuit (100. M4, M5, Ch) outputs a current (Idata1) on a measurement output terminal corresponding to the current through the sense cell.

Art Unit: 2816

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Shin (US 20050073488).

Regarding claim 20, figure 6 of Shin includes all the limitations of this claim except for the limitation that the ratio of the time in the at least one sample state to time in the hold state is in the range 1:5 to 1:20. However, However, it is old and well known and it would have been an obvious matter of preference bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose the particular claimed relative predetermined value of a differential input voltage limitations because applicant has not disclosed that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another relative predetermined value of a differential input voltage. Indeed, it has been held that optimization of range limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See MPEP 2144.05(II): "Generally, differences in concentration or temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. '[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). See also In re Hoeschele, 406 F.2d 1403, 160 USPQ 809 (CCPA 1969), Merck & Co. Inc. v. Biocraft Laboratories Inc., 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989), and In re Kulling, 897 F.2d 1147, 14 USPQ2d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1990). As set forth in MPEP 2144.05(III). Therefore, it

Art Unit: 2816

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to select the ratio of the time in the at least one sample state to time in the hold state is in the range 1:5 to 1:20 to conform to the electrical requirements of the system that uses the "device".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hiep Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Richard N can be reached on (571) 272-1736. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

09-18-07

Hiep Nguyen

TUANT.LAM